



Future Land Use Subcommittee
Meeting Summary
November 10, 2009 • 6:30 p.m.
The Ohio State University Endeavor Center
1862 Shyville Road, Piketon, OH 45661

Subcommittee Members Present: Shirley Bandy, Frank Halstead, Michael Lilly, Dan Minter, Michael Payton, and Cristy Renner

Board Members Present: Ed Charle, Val Francis, Daniel Moore, Larry Parker, and Dick Snyder

DOE Representatives: Joel Bradburne and Greg Simonton

DOE Employees, Contractors, and Regulators: Rich Bonczek, Jud Lilly, Cid Voth, and Kristi Wiehle, DOE; Sandy Childers, Bill Franz, and Miles Smith, LPP; Janie Croswait, RSI

Liaisons: Joe Crombie, Ohio Dept of Health; Brian Blair, Maria Galanti, and Melody Stewart, Ohio EPA

Support Staff: Julie Galloway, Brandy Moore, and Eric Roberts, EHI

Public: Lee Blackburn, Vina Colley, Joni Fearing, and Brian Huber

Dick Snyder opened the Future Land Use meeting in the absence of the Subcommittee Chair Sharon Manson.

1. Agenda

- **Approved as presented**

2. Review of August Summary

- **Summary Approved**

**3. Kentucky Research Consortium for Energy and the Environment (KRCEE)
Presentation on Future Use Project**

- **Rich Bonczek** Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office Senior Technical Reviewer and the Contract Officer Representative for the KRCEE. Bonczek stated that this is one small presentation of KRCEE's many projects. The way KRCEE works is, they develop projects, and project teams. The project teams are composed of academic researchers, regulator staff, and contractor support. This particular project was part of the grant renewal that occurred last year in Paducah.
- **Dr. Ted Grossardt** stated Dr. Chike Anyaegbunam, Dr. Keiron Bailey, Jon Ripy, and I do not work directly for KRCEE, we are all at the University of Kentucky except for Dr. Bailey who is at the University of Arizona. This presentation was put together for the Paducah Citizens Advisory Board to pull together public involvement on the Future Use of the Paducah Site.

The KRCEE presentation can be viewed on the SSAB website at www.ports-ssab.org

Question/Comment:	Answer:
<p>Colley Would this be funded by DOE? I have asked for DOE to hire an Independent person like Norman Busky or Marvin Resnikoff but they have brought you here to tell us to put a cell on site. We do not want waste kept onsite, we want it all shipped offsite.</p>	<p>Ripy Our job is not to come and tell you that a CERCLA cell is not a good thing or a bad thing. This presentation is just scenarios of the different projects that the public in Paducah had suggested. Our funding comes from Congressional ARRA; the mechanism that funds us comes from DOE.</p>
<p>Smith What is the population of Paducah?</p> <p>Out of 67,000 people, you picked 600 to be a part of this experiment.</p> <p>How do you pick the panel, is it elected by everyone or appointed?</p>	<p>Roberts The population of the county is about 67,000 people.</p> <p>Ripy As many people that walked in the door were a part of the experiment. We used the 600 for our discussions to get to the point of putting scenarios together.</p> <p>We went out to all the industry groups that we could find to get us started and then picked the panel (with the exception of the CAB).</p>
<p>Renner Liked the fact this if from a University, and how much work you have put into it. The only thing I can see is someone saying why you did not use Ohio State or Shawnee.</p> <p>How long would it take a University to get up to speed with the KRCEE process?</p>	<p>Bonzeck KRCEE will not be doing the study here at Portsmouth we will just be assisting someone with the process. They may not follow the same exact process there are other methods of doing this and that group is going to work in consultation with the KRCEE group.</p> <p>Ripy It will be a 17-month process.</p>
<p>Blair Is DOE going to use this process to get information on the 340-acres or is that off the table as far as future use?</p>	<p>Bonzeck The process will cover the entire Portsmouth Site.</p>

4. Discussion of Key Question from Retreat

- Snyder** reviewed the work plan development questions from the retreat and asked the members if they wanted to discuss the questions or take them as they are. The Subcommittees will be addressing a different topic each month that is laid out from these questions. This will help the subcommittee to come up with substantial recommendations.

Question/Comment:	Answer:
<p>Halstead There are two things that need to be decided before we can accept the questions; the first is USEC going to be funded and the second is the funding for Energy Parks.</p>	<p>Snyder Right now Energy Parks is a gray area, it is something that is specifically not in our mission statement for Future Land Use. Right now, we are looking for a broad mission statement.</p>

<p>Simonton The timing of the end-state planning, our D&D project, and the cleanup standards that will be adopted will be based on End Use, what would be proposed on a broad scale for to facilitate our End Use discussions here? As a contracting officer, what can you share?</p>	<p>Bonzeck The D&D timeline is a long-term activity. This project is not really meant to say how D&D will be done, it is meant to say if we do this, if this is what the community wants than this is the way the cleanup standards should be at the site. A 1995 document says that the plant should be cleaned up inside the perimeter road. If you find a new contamination area, it still has to be cleaned up to the level of what type of industry will be on the site.</p>
--	--

- **Francis** motioned that EHI should draft a recommendation that allows the Department of Energy to move forward with the process of an End Use Strategy similar to Paducah, **Motion seconded.**
 - **Motion carried**

5. **Public Comment Period**

Lee Blackburn you have a hard decision to make about clean-up standards and what level it is cleaned up too. Some of these things are going to be outside your control. The KRCEE presentation is an excellent tool. The real problem you are going to have is how do you start with the initial stakeholder interviews and who are the interviewees. As long as you can get out the information to the broadest number of people then overall, this project will be effective.

6. **Action Items**

- EHI Staff will draft an End Use Strategy recommendation to allow DOE to go forward with a similar process that Paducah used.

Roberts At the January Subcommittee meeting we will have a risk based presentation.

Lilly motioned to adjourn meeting, **Motion seconded.**

- **Motion carried**

Meeting adjourned

Next Meeting Tuesday, January 12, 2010, at 6:30 p.m.